influenza, mastitis, caked breast, diabetes, Buerger's disease (thromboangiitis obliterans), arthritic conditions, sciatica, lumbago, bone fractures (nonhealing bones), Paget's disease, sterility before and during treatment with hormones, and all cases of malnutrition, nervousness, stomach trouble, and incurable eczema; that the articles were effective as a nutritional fortification before and after surgical operations and during period of pregnancy and nursing; and that the administration of sulfa drugs, antibiotics, and vitamins would be more effective when preceded and followed by the articles. These statements were contrary to fact.

Soyabean oil. Misbranding, Section 502 (a), certain statements in the leaflet which accompanied the article and which was entitled "Retail Price List" were false and misleading. The statements represented and suggested that the article was an adequate and effective treatment for poor skin conditions, underweight, arthritis, and scaly skin. The article was not an adequate and effective treatment for these conditions.

Soya lecithin. Misbranding, Section 502 (a), certain statements in the circular entitled "Oil Free Soyabean Lecithin Edible" and leaflet entitled "Retail Price List," which accompanied the article, were false and misleading. The statements represented and suggested that the article was an adequate and effective treatment for hardening of the arteries, high and low blood pressure, hypertension, calcination of the spine or other parts of the skeleton, psoriasis, and pulmonary troubles; that it was effective in the prevention of fatty livers; and that it would check tendencies toward arteriosclerosis. These statements were contrary to fact.

The articles were misbranded in the above respects when introduced into, while in, and while held for sale after shipment in, interstate commerce.

Disposition: January 14, 1952. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.

3794. Misbranding.of In-Flam-Go. U. S. v. 60 Bottles, etc. (F. D. C. No. 33236. Sample No. 31615–L.)

LIBEL FILED: May 6, 1952, Eastern District of Missouri.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about July 25, 1951, by the In-Flam-Go Products Co., from Detroit, Mich.

PRODUCT: 60 1-pint bottles and 95 ½-pint bottles of *In-Flam-Go*, at St. Louis, Mo., together with a number of leaflets headed "It Will Surprise You" and "A Truly Remarkable Product" and a display easel headed "In-Flam-Go," which accompanied the product. Analysis showed that the product was an oily solution containing a small amount of iodine.

NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 502 (a), certain statements on the bottle label, in the accompanying leaflets, and on the display easel were false and misleading. The statements represented and suggested that the article was an adequate and effective treatment for inflammation, goiter, varicose veins, sprains, arthritis, neuritis, styes, burns and scalds, underactive and overactive thyroid glands, neuralgia, backache, sore muscles, bruises, impetigo, varicose ulcers, gangrene, hardening of the arteries, tonsilitis, sore throat, ringworm, eczema, sinus conditions, swelling of the glands, and high blood pressure. The article was not an adequate and effective treatment for such conditions.

DISPOSITION: June 27, 1952. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.